ECONOMIC IMPACT/ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Answer to best of the proponent’s ability, as required by ADPCEC Regulation 8, Chapter 3.5

APC&EC Regulation No. 32
(Environmental Professional Certification)
January, 2008 Update

RULE SUMMARY:

This revision to Regulation No. 32 implements a certification program under the authority of Act 864 of 2007 (Arkansas Code, Ann. §§ 8-7-1401 et seq.) for consultants and/or contractors who address investigation and cleanup at properties which have been contaminated by the illicit manufacture of controlled substances, e.g., clandestine drug laboratories. This revision does not have a corresponding federal rule or requirement.

STEP 1: DETERMINATION OF ANALYSIS REQUIREMENT
(to be included in petition to initiate rulemaking)

1A. Is the proposal expressly addressed by a Federal requirement?
   
   Yes.  See 1B.
   
   No. Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required.

No.

1B. If 1A is YES, is proposed regulation equivalent, or more stringent, or less stringent than federal requirement?

   • If equivalent – Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required
   • If more stringent - Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is required
   • If less stringent - Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required, but does require federal agency approval prior to adoption if the proposal is part of an authorized state program.
2A. ECONOMIC IMPACT

Not Required.
()

2B. ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT

Not Required.
()}